

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
8

9 ANTONIO LAVON DOYLE,

10 Petitioner,

3:00-cv-00101-RCJ-WGC

11 vs.

ORDER


12 TIMOTHY FILSON, *et al.*,

13 Respondents.
14 _____/

15
16 In this capital habeas corpus action, after a 30-day extension of time (ECF No. 260), the
17 petitioner, Antonio Lavon Doyle, was due to file a second amended habeas petition, or notice that he
18 will not file one, by September 28, 2016. *See* Order entered June 28, 2016 (ECF No. 258); Order
19 entered August 30, 2016 (ECF No. 260).

20 On September 28, 2016, Doyle filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 261),
21 requesting a second 30-day extension of time, to October 28, 2016, for his second amended petition.
22 Doyle's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of the complexity of this case,
23 and because of his obligations in other cases. Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension
24 of time. The court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely
25 for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested.
26

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings in this case shall be governed by the order entered June 28, 2016 (ECF No. 258).


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE